



Spotlight on Team Models



The essence of a team is common commitment. Without it, groups perform as individuals; with it, they become a powerful unit of collective performance. This kind of commitment requires a purpose in which team members can believe.

Katzenbach, J. R. & Smith, D. K. (1993)

'The Discipline of Teams'

'Not All Groups Are Teams'

A 'team', like a group, also has a shared goal or purpose, but the difference is that members of a team work in a collaborative environment, are mutually committed to the goals and to each other, and are jointly accountable for team performance.

This has implications for the leadership of working groups and teams:

Working Group	Team
Strong, clearly focused leader	Shared leadership roles
Individual accountability	Individual and mutual accountability
The group's purpose is the same as the broader organisational mission	Specific team purpose that the team itself delivers
Individual work products	Collective work products
Runs efficient meetings	Encourages open-ended discussion and active problem-solving meetings
Measures its effectiveness indirectly by its influence on others (such as financial performance of the business)	Measures performance directly by assessing collective work products
Discusses, decides, and delegates	Discusses, decides, and does real work together

Katzenbach, J. R. & Smith, D. K. (1993) 'The Discipline of Teams'

Leaders therefore need to understand the different stages of development from group through to team and, secondly, the skills and behaviours demonstrated by an effective team.

Development from Group Through to Team

Tuckman (1965) famously described the path that most teams follow to high performance as 'forming, storming, norming, and performing', and in later work he added a fifth stage 'adjourning' (sometimes known as 'mourning').

Tuckman's model describes how leaders change their leadership style from a 'directing' style through to coaching, facilitation and then delegation as teams become more mature and relationships are established between team members.

	Immature Group FORMING	Group Conflict STORMING	Sharing Group NORMING	Effective Group PERFORMING	Disbanding Group ADJOURNING
Group Characteristics	Questioning, socialising, confusion, uncertainty, testing ground rules	Resistance, competition, tensions, cliques, moving to group norms	Reconciliation, consensus, leadership accepted, trust established	Flexible roles, healthy system, working as a team, mutual accountability	Recognition of both team and individual efforts, sadness
Leadership Style	Directing Take the lead, get members acquainted, assign straightforward tasks, set expectations	Coaching Resolve conflict, move towards negotiation and consensus	Facilitating Provide direction, recognise individual and group effort, provide learning opportunities	Delegating Encourage group decision-making and problem solving, share learning	Evaluate, achieve closure, end on a positive note, and celebrate growth, progress, and achievements

Skills and Behaviours Demonstrated by An Effective Team

Dr. Meredith Belbin's research at the Administrative Staff College at Henley (now known as the Henley Business School) in the 1960s and 1970s led him to conclude that the 'mix', or 'balance' of roles that members played in a team largely determined whether or not a team achieved its objectives:

Brief descriptions of the Belbin® Team Roles are provided below:

Action Focussed	Thought Focussed	People Focussed
Shaper (SH): drives to overcome obstacles	Plant (PL): creative, solves difficult problems	Teamworker (TW): diplomatic, listens and averts friction
Completer-Finisher (CF): conscientious, seeks out errors	Monitor-Evaluator (ME): discerning, identifies and evaluates options	Co-ordinator (CO): confident, identifies talent, clarifies goals
Implementer (IMP): practical, turns ideas into actions	Specialist (SP): single-minded, specialist knowledge and skills	Resource-Investigator (RI): explores opportunities, develops contacts

The research also demonstrated that it may sometimes be necessary to take into account 'allowable weaknesses' when understanding team roles.

For example, a Monitor-Evaluator 'may well come across as unenthusiastic or even boring', but 1Team Role weaknesses can be comprehended as the price to be paid for the strength, and as such, they are termed "Allowable". Belbin Associates (2020)

References

- Belbin, R. M. (1981). **Management Teams: Why They Succeed or Fail** Heinemann
- Belbin Associates (2020). **History** <https://www.belbin.com/about/history/>
- Hersey, P. et al (1977). **Management of Organizational Behavior: Utilizing Human Resources** New Jersey/Prentice Hall.
- Katzenbach, J. R. et al (1993). **The Discipline of Teams** Harvard Business School Press
- Katzenbach, J. R. et al (1993). **The Wisdom of Teams: Creating the High-performance Organization** Harvard Business School Press
- Montebello, A. R. (1994). **Work Teams That Work** Minneapolis: Best Sellers Publishing
- Jassawal, A. R. et al (1999). **Building Collaborative Cross-Functional New Product Teams** The Academy of Management Executive